

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/620,579 | 07/15/2003 | Phillip James Bradbury | 861975/0270 | 8858 | |
| 7: | 590 10/19/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Schulte Roth & Zabel, LLP 919 Third Avenue | | • , | EDGAR, RI | EDGAR, RICHARD A | |
| New York, NY 10022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3745 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1/// |
|---|---|---|---------------------|
| Advisory Action | 10/620,579 | BRADBURY ET AL. | W. C |
| 7.a | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Richard Edgar | 3745 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addre | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper repl ch places the applica | ly to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se I36(a) and the appropriate | ee MPEP |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | statutory period for reply originally set in | the final Office action; or (2 | 2) as set forth in |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by | pelow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or si | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claim | ıS. |
| NOTE: | | | |
| $3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which wer | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | ınd an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | • | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. \square The drawing correction filed on $___$ is a) \square app | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| 9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 10/4/2004. | |
| 10. | Er | DWARD K. LOOK | |
| | SUPERVIS | ORY PATENT EXAMINER OLOGY CENTER 3700 CO (1664 | |
| | | 1000 | |